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#### Abstract

The IR and ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$ NQR spectra of several solid hydrogen bonded adducts of $o$-chlorobenzoic acids and amines were studied over the broad $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ range. Both IR and NQR spectra yield the indication of the existence of an inversion (critical) $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ range where the proton transfer takes place. The critical point is distinguished by a deep minimum of the position of the gravity centre of the broad protonic band. The mechanism of the transmittance of the charge distribution within the hydrogen bridge on the electric field gradient in the position of quadrupole Cl nuclei attached to the phenyl ring is analysed.


The studies of hydrogen bonded complexes formed by carboxylic acids and nitrogen bases in condensed phases seem to indicate ${ }^{1,2}$ that there exists some $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ range where the proton transfer (PT) takes place followed by the formation of ion pairs. In numerous liquid systems one observes within an appropriate $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ range the PT equilibrium ${ }^{3-5}$.


This inversion $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ range, called critical ${ }^{2,6}$, is characterized by a number of anomalies such as: (i) unusual broadening of protonic IR absorption bands ${ }^{7-9}$; (ii) remarkable increase of their intensities ${ }^{10,11}$; (iii) the shift of the gravity centre of "continua" to extremely ${ }^{12-14}$ low frequencies, sometimes below $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; (iv) very high values of chemical shifts in NMR of bridge active protons ${ }^{15,16}$; (v)

[^0]particularly low values of the isotopic ratio $v(\mathrm{OH}) / v(\mathrm{OD})\left(\right.$ ref. ${ }^{17}$ ) and finally a stepwise change of the charge distribution visible in the value of the hydrogen bond dipole moment ${ }^{18}$.

The ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{NQR}$ studies of crystalline hydrogen bonded complexes performed so far ${ }^{19-21}$ showed that the NQR frequencies observed for Cl nuclei in proton-donor molecules undergo a marked drop after complexation with bases. It was found out that the shape of the plot NQR frequency versus $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ is to large extent similar to that of $\Delta \mu$ (the increase of the dipole moment) versus $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ in the solution. It means that the NQR frequencies observed on Cl atoms in proton-donor molecules are formally the linear functions of the proton transfer degree. It is worth noticing that the Cl atoms do not participate directly in the hydrogen bond formation and play only a role of probes sensitive to the charge distribution in the hydrogen bridge. The mechanism of the transmittance of the change in the charge distribution within the bridge on the electric field gradient in the position of a resonating nucleus is not understood, but it seems that the direct inductive effect causing the polarization of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond is dominating (the internal Stark effect ${ }^{22}$ ).

In the present paper we would like to present the results of studies on the relationships between the position of the gravity centre of the broad protonic bands, $v_{\mathrm{cg}}$, as well as ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{NQR}$ frequencies and $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value for a large group of complexes composed of benzoic acids in the solid state. The results of such complementary studies should answer the question to what extent the critical point seen in anomalies in IR spectra can be correlated with the degree of the charge transfer.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The adducts of 2 -chloro-4-nitro-, 2 -chloro-5-nitro-, 2,6-dichloro-3-nitro- and 2-chloro-3,5-dinitrobenzoic acids with amines were prepared by precipitation from equimolar solutions of the components in acetonitrile. The composition of adducts was controlled by analysis of Cl . The $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value changed within the range -2.4 to 10.4 . The NQR spectra were recorded at liquid nitrogen temperature by means of a pulse ISSh-1-13M spectrometer. The great majority of adducts showed single ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$ resonance line. In a few cases where more than one resonance lines were observed the averaged frequencies were taken for the analysis.

The IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 180 spectrophotometer by using the KBr pellets. The gravity centres of broad bands corresponding to protonic vibrations (either $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{OH}}$ or $v_{\mathrm{NH}^{+}}$) were estimated by a numerical integration ( $\left.v_{\mathrm{cg}}=\int \nu A(v) \mathrm{d} v / \int A(v) \mathrm{d} v\right)$.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The behaviour of the adducts investigated in this paper is consistent with that observed so far in studies of the charge distribution in hydrogen bridges by using both the dipole moment technique in solutions ${ }^{18}$ and NQR application to solid complexes ${ }^{20}$. The hydrogen bond formation leads in all known cases of intermolecular
interactions to the charge displacement expressed by a $\Delta \mu$ vector directed from the proton acceptor B to proton donor AH group.


The sense of $\Delta \mu$ implies that in such complexes as chlorophenols with amines, chloroacetic acid with amines and chlorobenzoic acids with amines the hydrogen bond formation always causes the lowering of the ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$ NQR frequency.

The results obtained in this paper are summarized in Table I and illustrated in Fig. 1 by using the adducts of 2-chloro-4-nitrobenzoic acid as an example. As it can be seen a remarkable scattering of experimental points has to be emphasized although one can separate two area corresponding to HB and PT states. The average $v_{\mathrm{NQR}}$ values for HB and PT adducts differ considerably and the "lattice noises" do not obscure completely the picture. The estimated $\Delta v_{\mathrm{NQR}}=v_{\mathrm{PT}}-v_{\mathrm{HB}}$ values obtained for four series of adducts are equal to -1.26 MHz for 2-chloro-4-nitrobenzoic acid, -1.04 MHz for 2 -chloro-5-nitrobenzoic acid, -0.96 MHz for 2-chloro-3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid and -0.57 MHz for 2,6-dichloro-3-nitrobenzoic acid. Therefore, we note a striking difference between the complexes of dichlorobenzoic acid and remaining monochloro derivatives.

Let us assume, which can be justified on basis of the hitherto existing data ${ }^{20}$, that the observed shifts of NQR frequencies in complexed proton donors are due to the electric field arising from the dipole moment $\Delta \mu$ of the hydrogen bonding. If the electric field gradient (EFG) tensor posseses the symmetry close to axial ( $\eta \leqq 0.2$ ) and the spin of the quadrupole nucleus equals to $3 / 2$, the shift $\Delta v$ can be expressed by ${ }^{24}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v=\frac{e Q}{2 \boldsymbol{h}} R_{Z Z Z} E_{Z} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1
$v_{\text {NQR }}{ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$ plotted versus $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ for 2-chloro--4-nitrobenzoic acid complexes

Table I
${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$ NQR frequencies ( $v_{\mathrm{NQR}}$ ), average ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{NQR}$ frequencies ( $v_{\mathrm{NQR}}$ ), positions of the gravity centres of protonic bands $\left(\nu_{\mathbf{c g}}\right)$ and the proton transfer contributions ( $x_{\mathrm{PT}}$ ) estimated from NQR frequencies

| Amine | $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $v_{\mathrm{NQR}}$ <br> MHz | $v_{\text {NQR }}$ <br> MHz | $\mathrm{cm}^{\mathrm{cm}^{-1}}$ | $x_{\text {PT }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Chloro-4-nitrobenzoates |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Cyanopyridine | $-2.22$ | $37 \cdot 21^{a} ; 37 \cdot 56^{a}$ | $37 \cdot 39$ | 1940 | 0.00 |
| 3-Cyanopyridine | -0.61 | 36.569 | 36.57 | 1860 | 0.00 |
| 6-Nitroquinoline | 0.76 | $37 \cdot 21^{\text {a }}$ | $37 \cdot 21$ | 1790 | 0.00 |
| 3-Bromopyridine | $0 \cdot 89$ | 36.926 | 36.93 | 1730 | 0.00 |
| Quinoline | $2 \cdot 97$ | 37.068 | 37.07 | 1330 | $0 \cdot 14$ |
| 6-Methylquinoline | $3 \cdot 19$ | 36.737 | 36.74 | 1820 | $0 \cdot 21$ |
| 7-Methylquinoline | $3 \cdot 33$ | $37 \cdot 34^{\text {a }}$ | 37.34 | 1010 | 0.27 |
| 2,6-Dimethylquinoline | $3 \cdot 50$ | $35 \cdot 768$ | 35.77 | 660 | 0.35 |
| 4-Methylquinoline | $3 \cdot 63$ | $35 \cdot 954$ | 35.95 | 1160 | 0.42 |
| 3-Methylisoquinoline | $3 \cdot 68$ | $36 \cdot 702$ | 36.70 | 1200 | 0.45 |
| 3.5-Dimethylpyridine | $4 \cdot 18$ | 36.019 | 36.02 | 610 | 0.72 |
| 2,4-Dimethylpyridine | $4 \cdot 81$ | $36 \cdot 651$ | 36.65 | 1110 | 0.92 |
| 1-Methylimidazole | $5 \cdot 24$ | 35.561 | 35.56 | 1340 | 0.97 |
| Morpholine | $6 \cdot 53$ | 36.023 | 36.02 | 2580 | 1.00 |
| 4-Amino-2-methylquinoline | $7 \cdot 46$ | $35 \cdot 99^{\text {a }}$ | 35.99 | 2260 | 1.00 |
| Tributylamine | 7.97 | $36 \cdot 14^{\text {a }}$ | $36 \cdot 14$ | 2650 | 1.00 |
| Pentylamine | $8 \cdot 67$ | 35.995 | 36.00 | 2710 | 1.00 |
| Dipropylamine | $9 \cdot 04$ | 35•839 | 35.84 | 2760 | 1.00 |
| 2-Chloro-5-nitrobenzoates |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Cyanopyridine | $-2.43$ | $37 \cdot 014$ | 37.01 | 2330 | 0.00 |
| 3-Cyanopyridine | $-0.82$ | 37-139 | $37 \cdot 14$ | 1910 | 0.00 |
| 4-Cyanopyridine | $-0.31$ |  |  | 2050 | 0.00 |
| 6-Nitroquinoline | 0.55 | $36 \cdot 621$ | 36.62 | 1950 | 0.00 |
| 3-Bromopyridine | $0 \cdot 68$ | 36.862 | $36 \cdot 86$ | 2070 | 0.00 |
| 2-Methoxypyridine | $0 \cdot 89$ | 36.496 | $36 \cdot 50$ | 1952 | 0.00 |
| 8-Methylquinoline | $2 \cdot 74$ |  |  | 990 | 0.09 |
| Quinoline | $2 \cdot 76$ | 36.956 | 36.96 | 820 | 0.09 |
| 2,4-Dimethylquinoline | $2 \cdot 95$ | 36.282 | $36 \cdot 28$ | 970 | $0 \cdot 13$ |
| 7-Methylquinoline | $3 \cdot 12$ |  |  | 1580 | $0 \cdot 18$ |
| Isoquinoline | $3 \cdot 23$ | $36 \cdot 755$ | $36 \cdot 76$ | 1650 | 0.22 |
| 2,6-Dimethylquinoline | $3 \cdot 29$ | 35-807; 36.468 | 36.14 | 630 | 0.25 |
| 4-Methylquinoline | $3 \cdot 42$ | $36 \cdot 336$ | $36 \cdot 34$ | 1000 | 0.31 |
| 3-Methylisoquinoline | $3 \cdot 47$ | 36.743 | $36 \cdot 74$ | 720 | 0.33 |
| 2-Methylpyridine | 3.77 | 36.160; $36 \cdot 460$ | $36 \cdot 31$ | 1220 | 0.50 |
| 3,5-Dimethylpyridine | 3.97 | 36.502 | 36.50 | 970 | 0.61 |
| 5,6,7,8-Tetrahydroquinoline | $4 \cdot 48$ | 36-186 | $36 \cdot 19$ |  | $0 \cdot 84$ |

[^1]Table I
(Continued)

| Amine | $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $v_{\mathrm{NQR}}$ <br> MHz | $\begin{aligned} & v_{\mathrm{NQR}} \\ & \mathrm{MHz} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{cm}^{-1}}{v_{\mathrm{cg}}}$ | $x_{\text {PT }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Amino-3-methylpyridine | $5 \cdot 07$ | $36 \cdot 603$ | $36 \cdot 60$ | 1920 | 0.95 |
| N -Methylmorpholine | 5.21 |  |  | 1550 | 0.96 |
| Morpholine | 6.32 | 35•855 | $35 \cdot 86$ | 2380 | 1.00 |
| Triethylenediamine | $6 \cdot 65$ | 35.609; $36 \cdot 185^{\text {b }}$ | $36 \cdot 12$ |  | 1.00 |
|  |  | 36.481 |  |  |  |
| 4-Aminopyridine | 6.95 | $36 \cdot 546$ | $36 \cdot 55$ | 2360 | 1.00 |
| Pentylamine | 8.46 | 35.714 | 35.71 | 2800 | 1.00 |
| Dipropylamine | 8.83 | 35.620 | 35.62 | 2730 | 1.00 |
| Dibutylamine | 9.08 | 35•870; $36 \cdot 134$ | 36.00 | 2760 | 1.00 |
| Pyrrolidine | $9 \cdot 14$ | 35.405 | 35.41 | 2780 | 1.00 |
| 2,6-Dichloro-3-nitrobenzoates |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-Cyanopyridine | $0 \cdot 40$ | 35.999; 38.487 | 37.24 | 1820 | 0.00 |
| 4-Cyanopyridine | 0.91 | 36.328; $38 \cdot 141$ | 37.23 | 1950 | $0 \cdot 00$ |
| 6-Nitroquinoline | $1 \cdot 77$ |  |  | 1320 | 0.01 |
| 3-Bromopyridine | $1 \cdot 90$ |  |  | 1160 | 0.01 |
| Quinoline | $3 \cdot 98$ | 35.755; 38.246 | 37.00 | 710 | 0.62 |
| 6-Methylquinoline | $4 \cdot 20$ | 35.716; $38 \cdot 177$ | 36.95 | 690 | 0.73 |
| 7-Methylquinoline | $4 \cdot 34$ |  |  | 1290 | 0.79 |
| 2,6-Dimethylquinoline | $4 \cdot 51$ | 35.683; $37 \cdot 920$ | 36.80 | 1380 | 0.85 |
| 3-Methylisoquinoline | $4 \cdot 69$ | 35.440; 38.088 | 36.76 | 1450 | 0.89 |
| 5,6,7,8-Tetrahydroquinoline | $5 \cdot 70$ | 35.773; $37 \cdot 475$ | $36 \cdot 62$ | 1600 | 0.99 |
| 2,4-Dimethylpyridine | 5.82 |  |  | 1640 | 0.99 |
| 1-Methylimidazole | $6 \cdot 25$ | 35-801; 38.215 | 37.01 |  | 1.00 |
| 2-Amino-3-methylpyridine | $6 \cdot 29$ | 35.954; 37.709 | $36 \cdot 83$ | 2020 | 1.00 |
| Morpholine | 7.54 | 36.032; $37 \cdot 937$ | $36 \cdot 98$ | 2430 | 1.00 |
| 4-Aminopyridine | $8 \cdot 17$ |  |  | 2200 | 1.00 |
| 4-Amino-2-methylquinoline | 8.47 | 35-843; 37.492 | $36 \cdot 67$ | 2320 | 1.00 |
| Dipropylamine | 10.05 |  |  | 2770 | 1.00 |
| Pyrrolidine | $10 \cdot 36$ | 35.774; $37 \cdot 352$ | 36.56 | 2820 | 1.00 |
| 2-Chloro-3,5-dinitrobenzoates |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Cyanopyridine | $-1.79$ |  |  | 1920 | 0.00 |
| 3-Cyanopyridine | -0.18 | 38.652 | 38.65 | 1740 | 0.00 |
| 4-Cyanopyridine | $0 \cdot 33$ | $39 \cdot 023$ | 39.02 | 1590 | 0.00 |
| 6-Nitroquinoline | $1 \cdot 19$ | 39.266 | $39 \cdot 27$ | 1660 | 0.00 |
| 2-Methoxypyridine | 1.53 | 39.094 | 39.09 | 1710 | 0.01 |
| Quinoline | $3 \cdot 40$ | 37.967 | $37 \cdot 97$ | 970 | 0.30 |
| 2,4-Dimethylquinoline | $3 \cdot 59$ |  |  | 1470 | $0 \cdot 40$ |
| 2,6-Dimethylquinoline | 3.93 | 37.971 | 37.97 | 980 | 0.59 |

Table I
(Continued)

| Amine | $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $v_{\mathrm{NQR}}$ <br> MHz | $v_{\text {NQR }}$ <br> MHz | $\underset{\mathrm{cm}^{\mathrm{cg}}-1}{ }$ | $\chi_{\text {PT }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Methylquinoline | 4.06 | 38.261 | 38.26 | 650 | 0.66 |
| 3-Methylisoquinoline | $4 \cdot 11$ | 38.449 | 38.45 |  | $0 \cdot 69$ |
| 2-Methylpyridine | $4 \cdot 41$ | 38.746 | 38.75 | 1430 | 0.81 |
| 5,6,7,8-Tetrahydroquinoline | $5 \cdot 12$ | $38 \cdot 22^{a} ; 38 \cdot 30^{a}$ | 38.26 |  | 0.96 |
| 2,4-Dimethylpyridine | $5 \cdot 24$ |  |  | 1310 | 0.97 |
| 2-Amino-3-methylpyridine | 5.71 |  |  | 1570 | 0.99 |
| Morpholine | 6.96 | $37 \cdot 917$ | 37.92 | 2480 | 1.00 |
| 4-Aminopyridine | 7.59 | 38.704; 38.806 | 38.76 | 2490 | 1.00 |
| 4-Amino-2-methylquinoline | $7 \cdot 89$ | 38.043 | 38.04 | 2460 | 1.00 |
| Dipropylamine | 9.47 |  |  | 2740 | 1.00 |
| Dibutylamine | 9.72 |  |  | 2750 | 1.00 |

${ }^{a}$ Broad line; ${ }^{b}$ doublet.
where $R_{Z Z Z}=\delta V_{Z Z} / \delta E_{Z}$ is the parameter dependent on the character of the chemical bond in which the Cl atom is participating ${ }^{25}, e$ - the elementary charge, $Q$ - the quadrupole moment of the ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$ nucleus, $h$ - the Planck constant and $E_{Z}$ - the $Z$ component (in the principal axes system of the EFG tensor) of the electric field strength vector created by the dipole moment $\Delta \mu$ of a hydrogen bond ${ }^{26}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=-\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon}\left(\frac{\Delta \mu}{r^{3}}-\frac{3(\Delta \mu r) r}{r^{5}}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the electric permittivity of vacuum, $\varepsilon$ - permittivity of a medium and $r$ - the vector linking the nucleus of the Cl atom with the centre of the dipole $\Delta \mu$. As one can gain the information about $\delta v / \delta E_{Z}=(e Q / 2 h) R_{z z Z}$, from the measurements of NQR frequencies in the external electric field, it is convenient to express the Eq. (1) in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v=\left(\frac{\delta v}{\delta E_{Z}}\right) E_{\mathbf{Z}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $\delta v / \delta E_{Z}$ depends, similarly to $R_{Z Z Z}$, on the chemical nature of the bond in which the Cl atom is participating. In our case we assumed $\delta v / \delta E_{\mathrm{Z}}=38.5$. $.10^{-11} \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{V}{ }^{-1}$ (ref. ${ }^{25}$ ).

The Eqs (2) and (3) allow us to estimate the $\Delta v$ values caused by the increase of the
hydrogen bond polarity induced by the proton transfer. For the estimation of $E_{\mathbf{Z}}$ and, hence $\Delta v$ value the dihedral angle between the planes of the phenyl ring and the carboxylic group was accepted to be equal to $10^{\circ}$ in 2 -chlorobenzoates and $45^{\circ}$ in 2,6-dichlorobenzoates ${ }^{27}$. In monochlorobenzoates the hydrogen,bonding occupies the trans-position with respect to the chlorine atom. In the calculations of $E_{Z}$ we assumed that the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ bridges are located in the plane of carboxylic group and the bond lengths $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}^{(-)}$and $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ are equal to $0 \cdot 1395$, $0.173,0.152,0.126$ and 0.28 nm , respectively, while the angles $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}$, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}^{(-)}$and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ are $120^{\circ}, 120^{\circ}, 120^{\circ}, 117^{\circ}$ and $120^{\circ}$, respectively. In addition, it was assumed that the $Z$ axis of the EFG tensor in the place of Cl atoms is consistent with the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond and directed from the C atom towards $\mathrm{Cl}\left(\right.$ ref. ${ }^{28}$ ), while the $\Delta \mu$ moment is located in the centre of the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ bridge and directed from N towards O (ref. ${ }^{18}$ ). Let us assume, then, that the full proton transfer leads to a change of dipole moment $\Delta \mu \simeq 22 \cdot 10^{-30} \mathrm{Cm}$ (ref. ${ }^{29}$ ) and, finally, as a first approximation, that $\varepsilon \simeq 1$.

After such assumptions, we find out that for monochlorobenzoates $\Delta v=-0.65$ and for dichlorobenzoates $\Delta v=-0.66 \mathrm{MHz}$ i.e. there is a negligible difference in spite of the substantial difference in the configuration. For dichlorobenzoates the calculated value of $\Delta v$ is close to that found experimentally $(-0.57 \mathrm{MHz})$. The inaccuracy of the calculated $\Delta v$ values results from the fact that the $\delta v / \delta E_{\mathrm{Z}}$ evaluated from the internal Stark effect do not take into account the parameter of the internal field reaction ${ }^{30}$ which is always bigger than 1 . On the other hand, the electric permittivity $\varepsilon$ in the expression (3) is certainly bigger than 1 , too. Both factors contribute in opposite directions, but the latter one seems to be of greater importance. If we assume that $\varepsilon=n^{2}=2$, one gets twice lower $\Delta v$ values, but even in such a case the discrepancy between the calculated and experimental results are not so remarkable in the light of approximations which had to be accepted. This relates above all to the localization of point dipole moment $\Delta \mu$ (mid-point of the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ bridge).

However, a veritable difference between the calculated and experimental value of $\Delta v_{\mathrm{NQR}}$ has to be noticed for monochlorobenzoates. This difference can be understood in terms of a possible mesomeric effect. The mesomeric contribution to the mechanism of the influence of the hydrogen bond polarity on the quadrupole nucleus in 2,6-dichloro- and 2-chlorobenzoates should be entirely different. In the case of monochlorobenzoates the angle between the phenyl and carboxylate planes is only $10^{\circ}$ so that there are no obstacles for the $\pi$-electron conjugation involving the bridge atoms. In 2,6-dichlorobenzoate the conjugation is completely extinguished.

For the estimation of the formal degree of the proton transfer, $x_{\mathrm{PT}}$, in each series of complexes (about 20 adducts for each of them) the experimental $v_{\mathrm{NQR}}$ values were fitted to the Eq. (4) (ref. ${ }^{31}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\mathrm{NQR}}=x_{\mathrm{PT}} v_{\mathrm{PT}}+\left(1-x_{\mathrm{PT}}\right) v_{\mathrm{HB}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the mole fraction of the PT form was related to the $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of interacting components according to Eq. (5) (ref. ${ }^{32}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{x_{\mathrm{PT}}}{1-x_{\mathrm{PT}}}=\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}+\text { const. } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, it was possible to include all the results in one common plot shown in Fig. 2. During the fitting procedure all points (full circles) were omitted for which the deviation from the correlation curve was greater than $40 \%$. The considerable scattering of experimental points is undoubtedly due to a different packing of molecules in the crystal lattice and, consequently, different orientation of polar groups in neighbouring molecules with respect to quadrupole nuclei. In addition, one cannot disregard some fluctuations of the angle between the planes of phenyl and carboxylate ones.

Notwithstanding, such a scattering, which can be generally defined as lattice noises, one can discern two regions on the $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ scale corresponding to $\mathrm{HB}\left(\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}<\right.$ $<2)$ and $\operatorname{PT}\left(\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}>4\right)$ complexes. The inversion (critical) point at which $K_{\mathrm{PT}}$ is formally equal to 1 corresponds to $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \simeq 3.77$.

As follows from the IR studies performed previously for complexes of pentachlorophenol with amines ${ }^{33}$ this inversion range is distinguished by an extreme shift of the gravity centre of protonic bands to lower frequencies. The data collected

Fig. 2
Mole fraction of proton transfer state $x_{\text {PT }}$ plotted versus $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ for benzoic acids complexes

in this paper for four series of carboxylic acid complexes are correlated in Fig. 3. The number of complexes was 81 . There is clearly seen general regularity valid for all those complexes. Namely, in all cases there is manifested a deep minimum of $v_{\mathrm{cg}}$ close to $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \simeq 3.7$. This inversion point is amazingly consistent with the one found from the NQR data analysis.

The plot $v_{\mathrm{cg}}$ versus $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ consists of two branches on left and right sides of the critical $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value corresponding to HB and PT complexes. In the inversion range a particularly big shift of the protonic bands takes place along with the vanishing of absorption above $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, where usually the $v(\mathrm{OH})$ or $v\left(\mathrm{NH}^{+}\right)$bands are located. Simultaneously, we notice a particular behaviour of the $v\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ band, i.e. intermediate between the $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ vibrations in -COOH group and asymmetric $v\left(\mathrm{COO}^{-}\right)$vibrations. In addition, a pronounced broadening of the carbonyl band is observed in the inversion range.

The scattering of experimental points shown in Fig. 3 is also remarkable, but not as large as in the case of $v_{\mathrm{NQR}}$ frequencies correlated with $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$. This is probably due to the fact that in both cases the packing effects upon the shape of the potential for the proton motion play the same role but for the NQR frequency the fluctuations of the resulting EFG in the lattice seem to be more important.

Let us notice that the branches of the plot on both sides of the inversion point are not mutual mirror images. The curve after proton transfer becomes steeper than before it, which was already reported for complexes of 2-chloro-4-nitrobenzoic


Fig. 3
Position of the gravity centre, $v_{\mathrm{cg}}$, of protonic broad bands plotted versus $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ for hydrogen bonded adducts composed of $2,6-\mathrm{di}$ -chloro-3-nitrobenzoic acid ( $\bullet$ ), 2-chloro-3,5--dinitrobenzoic acid ( O ), 2-chloro-5-nitrobenzoic acid $(\Delta)$ and 2-chloro-4-nitrobenzoic acid ( $\square$ )
$\mathrm{acid}^{34}$. Now we can state that this is a general behaviour of hydrogen bonded benzoic acid derivatives. The disturbance of the NH bond under an influence of carboxylate anion is diminished, by moving away from the inversion point, faster than the one taking place for the OH group affected by the nitrogen base.

The consistence of the inversion points revealed by NQR and IR spectra seems to possess significant implications. It means that the IR spectroscopic behaviour of hydrogen bonds is strictly related to the charge distribution. The inversion state revealed from NQR spectra as corresponding to $50 \%$ proton transfer should be characterized by a peculiar shape of the potential for the proton motion. It seems that there is a single minimum flat potential. Such conclusion is in agreement with the latest X-ray diffraction studies ${ }^{35,36}$. They showed that: (i) the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ bridges in the inversion region are the shortest ones; (ii) the proton is markedly shifted towards the centre of the bridge, though a central position of the proton has never been detected so far; (iii) the bond lengths in neighbouring groups are almost exactly intermediate between those in boundary HB and PT states. The most significant, from this point of view, is the situation in complexes of 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid with 3,5-dimethylpyridine ${ }^{37}$ which IR spectra show a behaviour typical of the inversion range. The hydrogen bond lengths equal to 0.253 nm , the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ distances amount to 0.111 and 0.142 nm , respectively, while the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths in the carboxylic group are 0.128 and 0.121 nm i.e. correspond exactly to the situation in biscarboxylate salts where symmetric $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bridges are present ${ }^{38}$.
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